

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/771,651 01/30/2001 | | Otto Watzenberger | 51146 | 8169 | |
| 26474 75 | 90 08/29/2003 | , " | | 12 | |
| KEIL & WEIN | | | MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA | | |
| → 1350 CONNEC WASHINGTOR | TICUT AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20036 | * | | | |
| | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| · | | • | 1764 | | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | | | | | | | A-Sa |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Application | n No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | | | 09/771,65 | i 1 | | WATZENBERGER | ET AL. |
| | Offic | Action Summary | Examiner | | | Art Unit | |
| | | | Virginia M | | | 1764 | |
| Period fo | | ING DATE of this communicati | ion appears on the | covers | sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re | MAILING Designs of time of SIX (6) MONTH period for reply period for reply re to reply withing ply received by | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of THIS COMMUNICATION of THIS From the mailing date of this communication is specified above; the maximum statutor in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evo ation. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and with by statute. cause the app | ent, howevent outory minin Il expire Si lication to t | rer, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. |
| Status 1)⊠ | Deenone | ive to communication(s) filed (| on 29 May 2003 | | , | | |
| 1)⊠ 2a)□ | • | | \boxtimes This action is | non-fin | al | | |
| 3)□ | | s application is in condition for | | | | osecution as to the | e merits is |
| ,— | closed in | accordance with the practice | under Ex parte Q | uayle, 1 | 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| - | | 1,2 and 4-16 is/are pending in | the application. | | | | |
| | | above claim(s) is/are w | | nsidera | tion. | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) _ | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1 | <u>,2 and 4-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 8) | Claim(s) _ | are subject to restriction | and/or election r | equiren | nent. | | : • |
| Applicati | ion Papers | 5 | | | | | • |
| 9) 🗌 - | The specifi | cation is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 - | The drawin | g(s) filed on is/are: a)[| ☐ accepted or b)☐ | objecte | d to by the Exar | miner. | |
| | | may not request that any objection | | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | The propos | sed drawing correction filed or | n is: a)∐ a | pproved | d b)⊡ disappro | ved by the Examine | r. |
| | • • | ed, corrected drawings are require | | fice action | on. | | |
| 12) 🔲 - | The oath o | r declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| • | | I.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) 🗌 | Acknowle | dgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority ur | der 35 | U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ |] Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Cer | tified copies of the priority doc | cuments have bee | n receiv | ved. | | |
| | 2. Cer | tified copies of the priority doc | cuments have bee | n receiv | ved in Applicati | on No | |
| * 8 | · | oles of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ached detailed Office action for | nal Bureau (PCT | Rule 17 | 7.2(a)). | | Hage |
| 14) 🗌 A | Acknowledg | gment is made of a claim for d | omestic priority u | nder 35 | U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional | application). |
| | | anslation of the foreign langua | | | | | • |
| Attachment | | | , | | | | -) |
| 1) Notic | e of Reference | ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | | 5) 🔲 1 | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO | |
| J.S. Patent and Ti | rademark Office | | | | | Dark of Dance No. 40 | |

Application/Control Number: 09/771,651

Art Unit: 1764

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The ".8" as in ".8 to 1.0 atmosphere" in claims 7 and 12 are not positively recited in the specification.

Claims 1-2 and 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. There are no proper antecedent basis for supports in the claims for the following claimed languages:
- 1. "The hydroxyl amine-containing solution ..." in claims 1 and 10. [The solutions initially recited contain amines, i.e., solutions of hydroxylamine and amines. Compare with the abstract in lines 8-10 describing the hydroxylamine-containing solution without amines].
- 2. "The bottom product is vaporized again...". [The term "again" should be deleted the absence of a recitation of initial vaporization of the bottom product]. See claims 5 and 13.
 - 3. "... the vaporous fractions " in claims 5 and 13;
 - 4. "... the liquid phase" in claims 6 and 14.; and
 - 5. "... the electronic industry" in claims 9 and 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,651 Page 3

Art Unit: 1764

b. The solutions (plural) in line 1 is at odds with the solution (singular) in line3 of claim 1. See also claim 2.

c. In claim 1, it is unclear as to what happen to the "amines" that is recited in the preamble but not in the body of the claim. [It appears from the abstract that the that the "hydroxylamine containing-solution is the hydroxylamine being stripped with steam is the hydroxylamine from the waste water without the amines?]. See also claim 10.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watzenberger et al (5,837,107).

Watzenberger's disclosure at col. 4, lines 59-63 of a process of stripping of hydroxylamine from a salt solution carried out in a stripping column (14), and the further disclosure at col. 6, lines 43-52 of the separation being carried -out in a stripping column with steam (10) being passed into the bottom of the column are deemed to render obvious the limitations embodied in the body of claim 1 which recites "wherein the hydroxyl amine is stripped from the hydroxyl-containing solution. (The hydroxylamine from a salt solution deemed corresponding to the claimed hydroxyl –containing solution).

Application/Control Number: 09/771,651

Art Unit: 1764

.Claims 2, and 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 703-308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9462 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

V. Manoharan/mn August 28, 2003

09771651

VIRGINIA MANOHARAN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 132 ()